IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE.

WRIT PETITION NO. 1673 OF 2006.

M/s Dhanalaxmi Builders
& ors. ... Petitioners.
V/s.
Shri Manav Gupta & anr. ... Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1674 OF 2006.

M/s Dhanalaxmi Builders & ors. ...Petitioners.
vs.
Shri Madhu Gupta & ors. ...Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1675 OF 2006.

M/s Dhanalaxmi Builders & Ors.. ...Petitioners.
vs.
Mrs. Santosh Rani Gupta & anr. ...Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1677 OF 2006.

M/s Dhanalaxmi Builders & ors. ...Petitioners
vs.
Gupta Family Benefit Trust & ors. ...Respondents.

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1678 OF 2006.

M/s Dhanalaxmi Builders & ors. ...Petitioners.
vs.
Shri Ashish Kumar Kataruka & anr. ..Respondents.

Shri Kevik Setalwad i/b Vimla & Co. for the petitioners.

Shri Y.S. Jahagirdar, Senior Counsel with N.G. Thakkar, Senior Counsel and G.C. Mahanty i/b K.N. Damania & Co. for Respondent No.1. (for respondent Nos. 1 & 2 in W.P. No. 1675/2006)

Shri Z.B. Kamdin for respondent No.3 in W.P.No.1675/06.

CORAM : V.C.DAGA, J.

DATED : 14.3 .2006.

P.C. :

. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioners.

. These petitions are directed against the order dated 15.6.2005 whereby amendment was allowed. It is needless to mention that at this stage, it is not necessary to entertain these petitions and examine merits of the impugned order, in view of the law laid down by this Court in the case of **Shah Babulal Khimji vs. Jayaben D. Kenia, AIR 1981 SC 1786, at page 1813 (Paras 107,119)**.It would be open for the petitioners to challenge the said order in the event petitioners are required to carry appeal against the judgment which may be delivered or the finding recorded by the trial Court.

. All these petitions stand disposed of in terms of this order with no order as to costs.